

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/565,157   | 01/19/2006                          | Wittich Kaule        | 2732-173            | 7236             |  |
|  | 7590 01/14/201<br>FIGG, ERNST & MAI | EXAM                 | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| 1425 K STREET, N.W.<br>SUITE 800<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20005 |                                     |                      | CALLAWA             | CALLAWAY, JADE R |  |
|  |                                     |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |                                     |                      | 2872                | 2872             |  |
|  |                                     |                      |                     |                  |  |
|  |                                     |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |                                     |                      | 01/14/2010          | ET ECTRONIC      |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.  | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/565,157       | KAULE ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner         | Art Unit     |  |  |
| IADE D. CALLAWAY | 2872         |  |  |
| JADE R. CALLAWAY | 2872         |  |  |

|   | JADE R. CALLAWAY   | 2872                        |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|-----------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the o   | orrespondence add           | ress             |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS  | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | OR ALLOWANCE.               |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . Matter The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time  |  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing  | The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A<br/>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it</li> </ul>  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing   | date of the final rejection | n.               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (<br>MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(  |  | FIRST REPLY WAS FI          | LED WITHIN TWO   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a) The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fear ane been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fea. The appropriate extension fear under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp  | liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t   | iled within two months      | of the date of   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).   |  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   |  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  |  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>   |  | ducing or simplifying t     | ne issues for    |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally reje   | ected claims.               |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |  | -1                          | DTOL 004)        |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>  |  | mpliant Amendment (I        | PTOL-324).       |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>  |  | imely filed amendmen        | at canceling the |  |  |  |  |  |
| non-allowable claim(s).   | owabie ii subiliitted iii a separate, t  | intely filed afficialities  | it canceling the |  |  |  |  |  |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov   | 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:<br>Claim(s) allowed:   |  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5.9-12.39.48.59.62.63 and 76.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appelant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons wity it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  |  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The afficavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF IREX  11. All The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.  |  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).   |  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:  |  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| /Stephone B. Allen/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2872   | /JADE R. CALLAWAY/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 2872  |                             |                  |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because. Applicant's arguments and remarks are noted, However they are not found persuasive. Applicants argue that the prior act ided does not disclose "subareas being free of any diffraction structures" or "the subareas that form a not diffractive contrast image." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicants point to an embodiment described at oil. 6 lines 51-65 to argue that the subareas (10) are not free of diffractive structures or are recognizable substantially only under specific viewing conditions. The Examiner respectfully notes that the previous embodiment as described by lines 10-50 of col. 6, states that the gaps (10) do not include a diffractive structure and are recognizable substantially only under specific viewing conditions. The diffraction structure is included in layer 13. The Examiner also notes that the phrase "free of any diffraction structures" does not specify that any thickness above or below the subarea cannot have a diffraction structure, rather other that the subarea itself (i.e. gaps 10) does not include a diffraction structure. Additionally, Schmitz et al. disclose that the gaps (10) are only visible under transmitted light. The gaps, and the information included therein, remain invisible durine other viewing conditions.

Applicants further argue that the prior art cited does not disclose that "the subareas are produced during the embossing process with the embossing diseared, providing that elast one of the subareas being free of any diffraction structures," The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Schmitz et al. disclose (e.g. col. 6, lines 46-50) that the embossed layer can be formed separately of directly onto the surface of the carrier. The Examiner also noted in Section 5 of the Office Action dated 10/16/09 that claims 1 and 76 are product-by-process claims and that the determination of patentability is based on the product sites. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentably even through the prior product was made by afferent process. Additionally Schmitz et al. do tie the conditions under which the diffractive image of layer 13 is reconstructed to the conditions under which the information represented by ages 10 is visible since gaps 10 are only visible under transmitted light.

/Stephone B. Allen/ SPE, Art Unit 2872